UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,383	08/21/2003	Vincent G. Copa	AMS0008/US	9726
33072 KAGAN BIND	7590 09/08/201 ER. PLLC	EXAMINER		
SUITE 200, MA	APLE ISLAND BUILI	YABUT, DIANE D		
221 MAIN STREET NORTH STILLWATER, MN 55082			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		09/08/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/646,383	COPA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DIANE YABUT	3734		

	DIANE YABUT	3734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 August 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrumer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further core  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [	owable if submitted in a separate, t  ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9,11-14 and 26-44. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	P10/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/TODD E. MANAHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3734			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments filed 08/24/2010 have been considered but are not persuasive. Applicant generally argues that the balloons 32 and 36 in Sharkey are not considered to be "elongate" because the geometrical shapes disclosed, such as generally cylindrical or conical, are not necessarily elongate. However, since the passive fixation device 12, 14 is characterized as "generally elongate" (see abstract) and are disposed over the balloons' length (Figure 13), these balloons may impart a generally elongate cylindrical or conical shape. Also, in a deflated state, the balloons' width conforms to that of the "elongated tube" 20 or catheter, and therefore may be considered to be elongate.

Applicant also argues that there would be no motivation for one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the balloons in Sharkey with elongate tines of Kirsch since doing so would render the device of Sharkey in operable and non-advantageous. As maintained in the Final Office Action filed 5/24/10, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to replace the (second) tissue approximating structure of Sharkey et al. with one that comprises multiple distal and proximal opposing tines at the distal end of a catheter body, as taught by Kitsch et al. in order to facilitate the approximation of tissue portions by anchoring the urethral stump and bladder neck with tines to ensure proper connection between the urethra and bladder (col. 1, lines 38-40, Kitsch et al.), as well as to selectively approximate tissue when desired.